

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Explicit I-reduced hierarchy of the KP hierarchy

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 L705S

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/14/003)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 08:38

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Explicit *l*-reduced hierarchy of the KP hierarchy

### Qi-Ming Liu

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, People's Republic of China

Received 19 April 1990

Abstract. In this letter we propose an interesting and meaningful conjecture: for every integer  $l \ge 2$  there exists an *l*-reduced hierarchy in the form of  $(D_1 D_{m+l} + P_l(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{l-1}, D_{l+1})D_m)\tau \cdot \tau = 0$   $(l \nmid m)$  of the bilinear KP hierarchy. The first five  $P_l$  are presented and proved by the use of the Wronskian technique.

Studies by the Kyoto group on soliton theory reveal that the KP hierarchy plays a fundamental role in the classification of soliton equations [1, 2]. A variety of soliton equations with physical interests can be reduced from this hierarchy [3, 4]. Sato discovered that the KP hierarchy in the bilinear forms in terms of Schur polynomials is nothing but the Plücker relations appearing in the theory of Grassmann manifolds provided that the  $\tau$  function is expressed by the Wronskian [1, 5]. Recently, Newell [6] and Hu and Li[7] found that several famous hierarchies of soliton equations, such as the KdV, AKNS and classical Boussinesq hierarchies which can be generated by the 2-reduction of the KP hierarchy and its multicomponent analogue, have very simple bilinear forms. So it is natural to ask if for every integer  $l \ge 2$  there exists an *l*-reduced hierarchy which has a simple and unified bilinear form. This problem can be expressed in a more clear way as a conjecture:

There exists the following type of *l*-reduced hierarchy

$$(D_1 D_{m+l} + P_l(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{l-1}, D_{l+1}) D_m) \tau \cdot \tau = 0 \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \neq m$$
(1)

or furthermore,

$$\left(D_1 D_{m+l} - \frac{1}{l+1} D_{l+1} D_m + Q_l(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{l-1}) D_m\right) \tau \cdot \tau = 0 \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \not\prec m \quad (1')$$

of the bilinear KP hierarchy for every integer  $l \ge 2$ , where  $P_l$ ,  $Q_l$  are polynomials and the bilinear operator  $D_k^i D_n^j$  is defined as follows [8]

$$D_k^{\prime} D_n^{\prime} a(t) \cdot b(t) = (\partial_{t_k} - \partial_{t_k})^{\prime} (\partial_{t_n} - \partial_{t_n})^{\prime} a(t) b(t')|_{t'=1}$$
  
$$t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots) \qquad t' = (t'_1, t'_2, \ldots).$$

we find that  $Q_l$  exists for  $2 \le l \le 6$ :

$$Q_{2} = -\frac{1}{6}D_{1}^{3}$$

$$Q_{3} = -\frac{1}{4}D_{1}^{2}D_{2}$$

$$Q_{4} = -\frac{1}{120}(D_{1}^{5} + 15D_{1}D_{2}^{2} + 20D_{1}^{2}D_{3})$$

0305-4470/90/14705S+04\$03.50 © 1990 IOP Publishing Ltd

L705S

$$Q_5 = -\frac{1}{48}(D_1^4 D_2 + D_2^3 + 8D_1 D_2 D_3 + 6D_1^2 D_4)$$
  

$$Q_6 = -\frac{1}{5040}(D_1^7 + 105D_1^3 D_2^2 + 70D_1^4 D_3 + 210D_2^2 D_3 + 280D_1 D_3^2 + 630D_1 D_2 D_4 + 504D_1^2 D_5).$$

These facts can be obtained by the Wronskian technique [9, 10]. It has been proved for every  $2 \le l \le 6$  that if  $f_i$   $(1 \le i \le N)$  satisfies

$$\partial_{t_m} f_i = \partial_{t_1}^m f_i \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \not\prec m$$

$$(2a)$$

$$\partial_{I_i}^l f_i = \lambda_i f_i \tag{2b}$$

then  $W(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N)$  satisfies (1). The procedure for proving this is quite similar, though the computation is more complicated as l increases. So here we only use the case l=3 as an illustration.

For simplicity, in the following we shall use the abbreviated notation of Freeman and Nimmo [9] for the Wronskian and its derivatives and a new modified version

$$((\hat{N})_k, r_1, \dots, r_l) = \begin{cases} (\widehat{k-1}, k+1, \dots, N, r_1, \dots, r_l) & 0 \le k \le N \\ 0 & k < 0 \text{ or } k > N. \end{cases}$$

The proof can be completed by the following four steps:

(i) Use (2a) and the following determinantal identity [9]

$$\partial_x |a_1(x),\ldots,a_n(x)| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_1(x),\ldots,\partial_x a_i(x),\ldots,a_n(x)|$$

where  $a_i(x)$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  is an *n*-column vector, to calculate the derivatives of  $\tau$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\widehat{N-1}) & \tau_{t_1} = (\widehat{N-2}, N) & \tau_{t_2} = -(\widehat{N-3}, N-1, N) + (\widehat{N-2}, N+1) \\ & \tau_{t_1t_1} = (\widehat{N-3}, N-1, N) + (\widehat{N-2}, N+1) & \tau_{t_m} = -\sum_k (-1)^{N+k} ((\widehat{N-1})_k, k+m) \\ & \tau_{t_1t_m} = -\sum_k (-1)^{N+k} ((\widehat{N-2})_k, N, k+m) + (\widehat{N-2}, N+m). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Rewrite (1) as a one-degree bilinear form expressed by  $D_{m+1}$  and  $D_m$ . For l=3, we know that

$$(D_1 D_{m+3} - \frac{1}{4} D_4 D_m - \frac{1}{4} D_1^2 D_2 D_m) \tau \cdot \tau$$
  
=  $2 D_{m+3} \tau_{t_1} \cdot \tau + D_m [-\frac{1}{2} (\tau_{t_4} + \tau_{t_1 t_1}) \cdot \tau + \tau_{t_1 t_2} \cdot \tau_{t_1} + \frac{1}{2} \tau_{t_1 t_1} \cdot \tau_{t_2}].$ 

(iii) Substitute the expressions in (i) of the derivatives of  $\tau$  into the expression in (ii) of (1), then transform the terms in (1) by some Jacobi-type determinantal identities which can be derived by the use of the Laplace expansion theorem. For l = 3, we need the following:

$$|Dab||Dcd| - |Dac||Dbd| + |Dad||Dbc| = 0$$
[9]  
|Haef||Hbcd| - |Hbef||Hacd| + |Hcef||Habd| - |Hdef||Habc| = 0

where D is an  $n \times (n-2)$  matrix, H is an  $n \times (n-3)$  matrix, a, b, c, d, e and f are *n*-column vectors. Then we know that

$$\begin{split} (D_1 D_{m+3} - \frac{1}{4} D_4 D_m - \frac{1}{4} D_1^2 D_2 D_m) \tau \cdot \tau \\ &= \sum_k (-1)^{N+k} \{ [2(\widehat{N-2}, k+m+3) + (\widehat{N-5}, N-3, N-2, N-1, k+m) \\ &- (\widehat{N-4}, N-2, N, k+m) + (\widehat{N-3}, N+1, k+m) ] (\widehat{N})_k \\ &+ (\widehat{N-2}, k+m) [-((\widehat{N-1})_k, N+3) + ((\widehat{N-2})_k, N, N+2) \\ &- ((\widehat{N-3})_k, N-1, N, N+1) ] \} \\ &- (\widehat{N-2}, N+m+3) (\widehat{N-1}) - (\widehat{N-2}, N+m) (\widehat{N-2}, N+2) \\ &+ (\widehat{N-2}, N+m+2) (\widehat{N-2}, N) - (\widehat{N-2}, N+m-1) \\ &\times (\widehat{N-3}, N, N+1) + (\widehat{N-2}, N+m) (\widehat{N-3}, N-1, N+1) \\ &- (\widehat{N-2}, N+m+1) (\widehat{N-3}, N-1, N). \end{split}$$

(iv) Use (2b) and the following determinantal identity [9]

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)|a_1,\ldots,a_n| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_1,\ldots,\lambda a_i,\ldots,a_n|$$

where  $a_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  is an *n*-column vector and  $\lambda a_i$  denotes  $(\lambda_1 a_{i1}, \ldots, \lambda_n a_{in})^T$ , to prove the expression in (iii) of (1) equals zero. For l = 3, we know that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} (\widehat{N-2}, k+m)$$

$$= (\widehat{N-5}, N-3, N-2, N-1, k+m) - (\widehat{N-4}, N-2, N, k+m)$$

$$+ (\widehat{N-3}, N+1, k+m) + (\widehat{N-2}, k+m+3) \qquad (k \ge 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} (\widehat{N})_k = \begin{cases} (\widehat{N})_{k-3} + ((\widehat{N-3})_k, N-1, N, N+1) - ((\widehat{N-2})_k, N, N+2) \\ + ((\widehat{N-1})_k, N+3) \qquad (0 \le k \le N-2) \\ (\widehat{N})_{k-3} + ((\widehat{N-1})_k, N+3) - (\widehat{N-3}, N, N+1) \qquad (k=N-1) \\ (\widehat{N})_{k-3} - (\widehat{N-3}, N-1, N+1) + (\widehat{N-2}, N+2) \qquad (k=N). \end{cases}$$

Similar natures also exist in the MKP, BKP hierarchies and their multicomponent analogues.

We hope that this conjecture can be proved and the general expression of the  $P_i$  (or  $Q_i$ ) can be found in the future.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor Ben-Yu Guo for his continual encouragement. Thanks are also due to Dr Xiang-Biao Hu and Dr Yong Li for valuable discussions and assistance.

#### References

- [1] Sato M 1981 Publ. RIMS Kokyuroku 439 30
- [2] Date E, Kashiwara M, Jimbo M and Miwa T 1983 Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory ed M Jimbo and T Miwa (Singapore: World Scientific) p 39
- [3] Jimbo M and Miwa T 1983 Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 19 943
- [4] Hirota R 1986 Physica 18D 161

## L708S Letter to the Editor

- [5] Hirota R, Ohta M and Satsuma J 1988 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl., 94 59
- [6] Newell A C 1985 Solitons in Mathematics and Physics (Philadelphia, PA: SIAM)
- [7] Hu X B and Li Y 1989 Bilinearization of KdV, MKdV and classical Boussinesq hierarchies Report on Int. Conf. on Nonlinear Physics, Shanghai
- [8] Bullough R K and Caudrey P J (eds) Solitons Topics in Current Physics vol 17
- [9] Freeman N C and Nimmo J J C 1983 Phys. Lett. 95A 1
- [10] Nimmo J J C 1988 Symmetric Functions and the KP Hierarchy Nonlinear Evolution Equations, ed J J P Leon (Singapore: World Scientific)